

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 were pending in the application as appealed on January 30, 2003. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are amended herein. New claims 9-14 are presented.

In its appeal decision dated September 30, 2004, the Board noted (pp. 10-11) that U.S. Patent No. 5,420,866 to Wasilewski does not disclose the use of a carousel, but rejected applicants' arguments regarding the claimed "periodically repeated plurality of modules" because applicants had not claimed a carousel. The independent claims (1, 3, 5, and 7) have been amended to recite a carousel. Accordingly, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 has been overcome.

In its September 30, 2004 appeal decision, the Board also noted (p. 11) that applicants had not specifically defined the term "object" in the claims to mean executable code, and affirmed that the claimed "objects" were met by Wasilewski's data objects. New claim 9 specifies that the object includes executable code.

New claims 10-14 are supported in the specification, *inter alia*, at page 6 line 23 - page 7 line 6. It is believed that the additional limitations of these claims further patentably distinguish them.

Reconsideration and further examination is requested, and an early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven R. Petersen, Reg. No. 31,287
Attorney
(914) 333-9640